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ABSTRACT

To maintain high efficiencies for solar cells and reduce the cell bowing, the full Al rear surface of thin conventional solar cells has to be replaced by a more suitable passivating rear surface layer. In our new PASHA-cell (Passivated on All Sides H-patterned cell) we apply a single silicon-nitride (SiNx:H) layer for rear surface passivation in combination with an open, firing through aluminum metallization. This improved processing results in a gain in efficiency of almost 1% absolute compared to full Al BSF, achieving 16.4% on 156 cm², 200 µm thick mc Si solar cells. Besides this efficiency gain, due to lower consumption of aluminum, there will be a reduction in the costs of cell fabrication. Furthermore, the severe bowing of wafers thinner than 200 µm has been reduced to zero.

To test the industrial stability, the processing was applied on a batch of large (243 cm²) and thin (160 µm) wafers which yielded an average cell efficiency of 15.5% with a maximum of 16.1%. This is 0.5% absolute better than the full Al rear reference, even though the rear metallization pattern has not been optimized yet.

INTRODUCTION

A general trend to reduce costs for PV is to reach high efficiencies on thin and large solar cells. However, processing of thin (<200µm) and fragile wafers using today’s technology with full rear surface aluminum Back Surface Fields (BSF) will reduce the cell efficiency due to non-optimal back-surface passivation and lower internal reflection at the rear side [1-7]. Furthermore, the use of such wafers will cause problems for processing and module assembly due to the increased bowing which will result more breakage. In the past couple of years several solutions to overcome these bottlenecks have put forward: the usage of bifacial rear side passivated solar cells [1,2], passivating the rear side with dielectric layers and using laser fired contacts (LFC) at the rear [3,4], or selective alloying of a local BSF at the rear (i-PERC) [5,6]. While in the case of bifacial cells only part of the rear surface is covered with metallization, in the case of the LFCs or i-PERC a full Al layer is deposited on top of the passivating layer(s). This full Al layer can result in higher fill factor (FF) of the solar cells due to reduction in R_series, but removes the possibility of bifacial use and of further cost reduction by lower aluminum consumption.

To increase the efficiency for thin cells, a single SiNx passivating layer is used at the rear. Open rear metallization of the bifacial cells reduces the cell bowing to zero [1,2]. The FF of the PASHA (Passivated on All Sides H-patterned cells) cells will be improved by optimizing the metallization pattern.

EXPERIMENTS AND PROCESSING

Processing of the PASHA cells

The processing sequence of the PASHA cells, as it is carried out in the ECN laboratory, is shown in figure 1. P-type mc-Si wafers are iso-textured by a wet chemical acidic etch, after which a 65 Ω/sq emitter is diffused using an inline belt furnace. To prepare the rear surface for optimal passivation, the rear side emitter is removed using a wet chemical etch after which the rear surface is smoothened for better passivation. Before SiNx deposition the P-glass is removed and the wafers are cleaned. The standard ECN remote MW PECVD SiN, coating [8] is used as a front side anti-reflection layer and for bulk and surface passivation [9]. On the rear side a single SiNx layer is applied, using the same remote MW PECVD system. The metallization is applied by screen printing, silver on the front side and an H-pattern aluminum metallization for rear side contacting and local BSF formation. The aluminum paste used was specially developed to enable firing through the SiNx on the rear side. Both the silver front and aluminum rear contacts were fired through the SiNx layers in a single co-firing step. Because the emitter on the rear surface is removed in step 3 (fig 1), no edge isolation (e.g. laser) is needed. Thus, effectively only one additional process step, the additional SiNx layer at the rear, is needed with respect to the conventional mc-Si processing. This means that the process can be easily implemented into industry.

Optimization of the rear side passivation

For the passivation of the rear surface of p-type solar cells (lowly doped p-type silicon), several institutes have developed different layered structures. Both the SiOx/SiNx and SiOx/SiNx/SiOx stacked layers used by IMEC and ISE [4-6], and the a-Si layer used by ISE in the LFC solar cells [3] give excellent rear surface passivation. Our aim was to develop a single passivating layer that can be easily applied in
industry. Therefore, we investigated single layers of hydrogenated silicon nitride (SiNₓ), since they are already very common in use for the front surface and bulk passivation of most industrial Si solar cells.

In figure 2 we show the surface recombination velocities of the different SiNₓ layers as a function of their Si-N bond density, before and after firing [9]. All layers were deposited on 1 ohm-cm, double polished p-type FZ wafers. The effective lifetimes (τ_{eff}) of the samples were measured using Quasi Steady State Photo Conductance method [10]. Recombination velocities (S_{eff}) were calculated using the approximation: \( 1/\tau_{eff} = 1/\tau_{bulk} + 2S_{eff}/W \), with W the thickness of the FZ wafer [11]. \( \tau_{bulk} \) is assumed to be 1 ms for the FZ material. As can be seen from figure 2, our SiNₓ layers are able to give an excellent passivation, with \( S_{eff} \) ranging from 100 to 10 cm/s, on lowly doped p-type surfaces.

Using standard remote MW PECVD SiNₓ deposition, we found an optimum in surface passivation for layers with Si-N \( \approx \)9.5 \( \times \)10²² cm⁻³, and refractive index of \( n \approx ~2.5 \). With further optimizing we were able to reduce the recombination velocity to values below 10 cm/s. These layers were used on the rear side of the PASHA cells.

**Figure 2:** Recombination velocities of different silicon nitride coatings as a function of their Si-N bond density, before and after firing.

Results of PASHA cells

Pasha cells were processed in the lab on 240 µm thick, 156 cm² mc Si material using different SiNₓ coatings on the rear side. The values for implied \( V_{oc} \) at 1 sun illumination were extracted from the injection dependent lifetime measurements [10]. Implied \( V_{oc} \)'s of over 660 mV were achieved after firing for wafers passivated with the best rear surface layer.

IV characteristics of the complete solar cells were measured using a Class A solar simulator according to the ASTM-E948 norm, full area Internal Quantum Efficiency (IQE) was calculated from spectral response and reflection measurements. The averaged results for \( J_{sc} \) and \( V_{oc} \) for PASHA cells with two different rear surface passivating layers, a full aluminum reference cell and a cell with no passivating layer are shown in figure 3 and table 1. The final values for \( V_{oc} \) after complete processing are about 40 mV lower than the implied \( V_{oc} \) measured. This is due to the additional handling, metallization steps and the firing of the aluminum pattern through the rear side passivating coating. The current obtained with the best surface passivating SiNₓ (35 mA/cm², see table I) is ~3% higher than the \( J_{sc} \) of the Al reference (33.9 mA/cm²), the \( V_{oc} \) (609 mV) is slightly higher to the reference value of 607 mV. This indicates that \( J_{sc} \) is most susceptible to fine-tuning of rear surface optimization.

**Figure 3:** Average IV results (red \( J_{sc} \), black \( V_{oc} \)) for mc-Si solar cells with different rear surface passivation

**Table I:** average IV results of mc-Si bifacial solar cells, and a full Al reference cell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rear Side</th>
<th>( J_{sc} ) (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>( V_{oc} ) (mV)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>( \eta ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No passivating layer</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>591</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al rear</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard SiNₓ rear</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface passivating SiNₓ rear</td>
<td>35.0 (max 35.2)</td>
<td>609 (max 611)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis and discussion of PASHA cells

The internal quantum efficiency measurements from the rear side of the solar cells with different rear side passivation schemes are shown in figure 4, including the fits from the PC-1D analysis.

**Figure 4:** Rear side illuminated IQE measurements and PC1D fits. For the lower wavelengths (<500nm) the results are affected by the absorption in the passivating layers. This causes a lower IQE, which
could not be fitted with PC1D. When the cell is illuminated from the front, this absorption at lower wavelengths at the rear will not hinder the cell efficiency.

Since all the cells were made of neighboring material and, except for the backside passivation, were identically processed, values for $\tau_{\text{bulk}}$ are similar (around 25-30 $\mu$s) and the front parameters such as front surface passivation (2.5 $\times$ 10$^5$ cm/s) and internal, front side reflection (85%) were the same for all groups. A lowest value of $S_{\text{eff, rear}} = 350$ cm/s is obtained for the cells passivated with the ‘optimized’ single SiN$_x$ layer.

In figure 5, both the front- and rear-IQE of a rear-passivated mc-Si cell (using best passivating SiN$_x$) are shown together with the front-IQE of the full aluminum reference cell. At 1000 nm, the two front-IQEs are overlapping. This means that the full aluminum rear solar cell has similar low rear surface recombination velocities (fitted with PC1D [12] to $S_{\text{eff, rear}} \approx 350$ cm/s) as the rear side passivated solar cell [8]. Such low recombination values for BSFs are not usually obtained in industry, where the values for $S_{\text{eff, rear}}$ are usually above 1000 cm/s [7]. Above 1000 nm however, there is a clear enhancement in the front-IQE of the rear side passivated cell compared to the aluminum reference. This gain is due to the enhancement in rear reflection for SiN$_x$ coatings compared to the full aluminum rear side. PC1D fits give $R_{\text{rear}} = 72\%$ for the aluminum reference versus $R_{\text{rear}} > 85\%$ for the SiN$_x$ passivated solar cells. This improved optical confinement is reflected in the increased $J_{\text{sc}}$ of the PASHA cells.

The first step that is different from the standard solar cell processing is the rear side emitter removal and smoothening. However, this process step is very similar to the wet chemical process for emitter isolation that is more and more used in industry. The difference lies in the fact that besides emitter removal, the rear surface also needs to be smoothened and cleaned for better passivation. The aim is to remove as little as possible from the rear side of the wafers to obtain a high throughput while still maintaining a good surface passivation. Preliminary results obtained our new single side etch are promising. We have obtained similar $V_{\text{oc}}$ and $J_{\text{sc}}$ on solar cells using batch or inline processing of the rear surface.

The second process step added to the standard cell processing is the application of a rear side passivating dielectric (SiN$_x$) layer. We apply this layer using our remote MW PECVD system. Remote MW PECVD systems are already widely used in industry for the deposition of the front side ARC. By adjusting the parameters of the PECVD system we were able
to make a very good single-surface passivating layer (see figure 2). This will make the industrialization of the second step straightforward.

The final process step that needs to be industrialized, is the adjusted aluminum pattern on the rear side. A similar pattern is applied on the rear side to that on the front side for the first PASHA cells. This was an H-pattern with 2 busbars, connected with thin fingers. The challenge here lies in optimizing both the rear surface passivation (less metallization) and the FF (more metallization). As the wafer size increases, the impact of the amount of metallization on the FF becomes more and more severe. This point will be addressed in more detail in the following paragraph.

Metallization on larger sized solar cells

The total recombination velocity ($S_{\text{eff, total}}$) on the rear side is determined by both the recombination velocity of the SiNx ($S_{\text{eff,SiNx}}$) and the recombination velocity of the local BSF below the metallic (fired through) contacts ($S_{\text{eff,metal}}$). The local BSF below the metal contacts is not as good as the BSF of a full Al covered solar cell, and gives a recombination velocity around 2000-3000 cm/s. If the percentage of metal coverage becomes larger, the total $S_{\text{eff,rear}}$ becomes dominated by $S_{\text{eff,metal}}$, and both $V_{\text{oc}}$ and $J_{\text{sc}}$ will drop. This effect is shown for 243 cm$^2$ cells in figure 7.

On the other hand, when the metal coverage becomes too small, the series resistance will increase (for instance increase in $R_{\text{ser}}$ due to finer lines) and the FF will drop. Because of the larger generated current, and longer length of the fingers, the drop in FF is more explicit for 243 cm$^2$ than for 156 cm$^2$ wafers. By comparing different measuring methods of the solar cells (measuring only on the busbars or measuring on a chuck in a standard solar simulator) we found that the FF is mainly limited by the conductivity of the aluminum fingers.

The challenge for large PASHA cells is to minimize the metal coverage on the rear, while maintaining a good FF. Several approaches to tackle this problem are:

- Increasing the local BSF formation below the aluminum lines. This will improve the $V_{\text{oc}}$ and $J_{\text{sc}}$ for higher metallization coverage
- Increasing the conductivity of the aluminum lines to obtain higher FF
- Optimizing the metallization pattern on the rear side.

Research on all these topics is currently ongoing at ECN. A paper on the optimization of the metallization pattern using 2D simulations is presented at this conference by E. Bende et al.

**Processing thin and large solar cells**

The cell processing described in the previous paragraphs, using the best passivating SiNx, was applied on 243cm$^2$, 160 µm thin wafers. The metallization pattern on the rear was not optimized yet on these large solar cells. Nevertheless, still efficiencies of over 16% were reached, with an average efficiency of 15.5%. Maximum values obtained for $V_{\text{oc}}$ and $J_{\text{sc}}$ were 608 mV and 34.3 mA/cm$^2$ compared to 605 mV and 33.8 mA/cm$^2$ for the fully Al covered reference.

A larger batch of these thin wafers was processed, to test the industrial applicability of the process flow. The results for 60 wafers are shown in figure 8. Also the full Al BSF reference cells are shown (less wafers were processed for the reference). It is clear, that although the metallization pattern at the rear is not yet fully optimized with respect to $R_{\text{ser}}$ and passivation, the processing is relatively stable and gives an efficiency distribution that is 0.5% absolute higher than the reference, full aluminum covered process. The maximum efficiency reached is 16.1%. On top of this, the bowing is reduced to zero for the bifacial cells, compared to >2 mm for the reference cells. In the coming months, the processing on large
wafers will be optimized and consecutively implemented into a full industrial process sequence up to module assembly.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we present rear side SiN\(_x\) passivated bifacial solar cell concept PASHA, and the steps that are undertaken for the industrialization of this cell concept. A highest efficiency of 16.4% was reached on PASHA cells made from 240 µm, 125x125 mm\(^2\) mc-Si material, with 3% higher \(J_{sc}\) and similar \(V_{oc}\) compared to the full aluminum BSF reference cells. The gain in \(J_{sc}\) is mainly due to the enhanced optical confinement from the rear SiN\(_x\), while the \(V_{oc}\) is governed by the rear surface recombination velocity \(S_{eff,\text{rear}}\). Lowest \(S_{eff,\text{rear}}\) of 350 cm/s were reached which is a factor 3 better than the \(S_{eff,\text{rear}}\) obtained in industry (<1000 cm/s) with full aluminum BSF. This means that passivation by SiN\(_x\) should result in a large increase for both \(V_{oc}\) and \(J_{sc}\). For wafers thinner than 200 µm the rear surface passivation will be even more important and therefore these increases will become even more significant. A large batch of 160 µm thin, 156x156 mm\(^2\) wafers solar was successfully processed yielding an average efficiency of 15.5% and maximum efficiency of 16.1%, 0.5% absolute higher than the full Al reference.

REFERENCES